

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,836	VAID ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elmira Mehrmanesh	2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Elmira Mehrmanesh. (3) Ian Harrison.
 (2) Marina Zalevsky. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Meaney et al. (PGPUB 20040139374), Gilbertson et al. (Patent No. 6,594,785).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Please see the attached summary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

In an applicant initiated personal interview on March 20, 2007, with the applicant's representatives, Marina Zalevsky and Ian Harrison the following arguments were presented with regards to claim 1 of the present application discussing the prior art Meaney et al. (U.S. PGPUB 20040139374), Gilbertson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,594,785).

Discussed rejections of record and the proposed a draft amendments regarding error handling based on a predetermined software policy. Claim appear to have overcome the prior art, but are subject to an updated search.